

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/520,634	Applicant(s) DE KONING, CORNELIS JOHANNES	
	Examiner Igor Kershteyn	Art Unit 3745	

All Participants:

(1) Igor Kershteyn.

(2) John C. Holman (Reg. No. 22,769).

Date of Interview: 22 November 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1,6,7,9,10

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreement was reached to amend claims to overcome indefiniteness rejections and to correct inaccuracies (See Examiner's Amendment)


Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)



 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)